



## BIOINTENSIVE MANAGEMENT OF TEA MOSQUITO BUG *HELOPELTIS* SPP. IN MORINGA

K SURESH

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute,  
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai 625104 Tamil Nadu, India  
Email: sureshento2009@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted during 2018-19 and 2019-20 in moringa gardens at Usilampatti Block, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu with seven biopesticides viz., neem oil 3%, NSKE 5%, azadirachtin/ neemazal 3,000 ppm, pungam oil 3%, fish oil rosin soap 25 g/ l, *Beauveria bassiana* 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml and *Lecanicillium lecanii* 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ ml to evaluate their efficacy against tea mosquito bug *Helopeltis* spp. Among these, *B. bassiana* 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml was found to be the most effective.

**Key words:** Tea mosquito bug, moringa, neem oil, NSKE, neemazal, pungam oil, fish oil rosin soap, *Beauveria bassiana*, *Lecanicillium lecanii*, efficacy, yield

The tea mosquito bug is an injurious pest on guava fruits. Besides guava, it is a major pest of tea, cashew, cocoa, avocado, apple, grapes, moringa, silk cotton, pepper, cinchona, ber, camphor, tamarind and neem trees. The miracle tree, moringa is susceptible to many insect pests of which the tea mosquito bug *Helopeltis antonii* (Sign.) (Hemiptera: Miridae) is the major one (Kotikal and Math, 2016). This pest is gaining importance as a pest on moringa in recent years. Its eggs are inserted in the midribs of young shoots. The nymphs and adults desap all plant parts such as terminal shoots and pods, remains active throughout the year, and lead to 100% crop loss if appropriate management strategies are not adopted. Timely execution of suitable control measures can minimize the losses. In general, application of insecticides like thiamethoxam, deltamethrin, clothianidin, thiacloprid and quinalphos are preferred. Control failures are more common in fields having a practice of sole application of synthetic insecticides. This is mainly due to development of insecticide resistance. Hence, an alternate strategy involving biopesticides is highly essential for tea mosquito bug management.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted in moringa gardens at Usilampatti Block (9° 58' N, 77° 47' E) in a randomized block design with seven biopesticides. These treatments were replicated thrice to with three trees/ replication. The treatments include neem oil @ 3%, NSKE @ 5%, azadirachtin @ 3000 ppm, pungam

oil @ 3%, fish oil rosin soap @ 25 g/ l, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml, *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ ml and water spray. Two rounds of foliar sprays were given at fortnightly interval, one at new flush formation period and the second at 15 days after the first spray, using a high volume knapsack sprayer @ 500 l of spray fluid/ ha. Incidence of tea mosquito bug/ tree and damage % (shoot damage) were recorded from twenty twigs randomly selected/ tree. The above observations were recorded on a day prior to and 3<sup>rd</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> days after spraying. The % reduction over control was worked out, with the shoot infestation graded in 0-4 scale as suggested by Ambika et al. (1979). Damage score 0: no lesions/ streaks; 1: 1 to 3 necrotic lesions/ streaks; 2: 4 to 6 coalescing or non-coalescing lesions/ streaks; 3: >6 coalescing or non- coalescing lesions/ streaks and 4: lesions/ streaks confluent or wilting affected shoots/ panicles.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the pooled data of 2018-19 and 2019-20, it was observed that the incidence of *Helopeltis* spp. differed significantly due to various treatments. Computation of means revealed that application of *B. bassiana* 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml led to the least incidence of 7.90/ tree, that being statistically on par with the treatment of *L. lecanii* 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ ml (9.24/ tree) and pungam oil 3% (10.37/ tree), as against maximum of 28.53/ tree untreated control. Thus, *B. bassiana* 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml treatment significantly reduced the incidence (72.70%), and this was followed by *L. lecanii* 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ ml (67.96

Table 1. Efficacy of botanicals and biopesticides on *Helopeltis antonii* in moringa (Pooled data, 2018-19 and 2019-20)

Tr. No.	Treatments	Counts (No./ tree) *										% Reduc- tion over control		Damage (%)		% Reduc- tion over control	Moringa yield (t/ ha)	
		PT C			I Spray		II Spray					Mean	Mean	I Spray	II Spray			Mean
		3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS								
T <sub>1</sub>	Neem oil 3 %	24.50	14.50 (3.81) <sup>b</sup>	17.83 (4.22) <sup>b</sup>	20.17 (4.49) <sup>b</sup>	12.83 (3.58) <sup>b</sup>	16.00 (4.00) <sup>b</sup>	19.67 (4.44) <sup>b</sup>	17.66 (4.20) <sup>c</sup>	38.04	19.27	22.93 (28.6) <sup>d</sup>	17.34 (24.6) <sup>e</sup>	20.38 (26.8) <sup>cd</sup>	28.62	15.10 (3.95) <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub>	NSKE 5 %	23.34	11.50 (3.39) <sup>a</sup>	14.33 (3.79) <sup>b</sup>	18.00 (4.24) <sup>b</sup>	10.83 (3.29) <sup>b</sup>	14.00 (4.14) <sup>b</sup>	17.17 (4.14) <sup>b</sup>	15.16 (3.89) <sup>b</sup>	46.72	19.17	21.10 (27.3) <sup>c</sup>	17.32 (24.6) <sup>c</sup>	19.21 (26.0) <sup>e</sup>	32.73	15.41 (3.99) <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>3</sub>	Azadirachtin/ Neemazal	23.83	10.50 (3.24) <sup>a</sup>	12.83 (3.58) <sup>a</sup>	15.50 (3.94) <sup>a</sup>	10.00 (3.16) <sup>b</sup>	13.00 (3.81) <sup>b</sup>	14.84 (3.85) <sup>b</sup>	13.81 (3.72) <sup>b</sup>	51.49	18.83	21.17 (27.4) <sup>c</sup>	15.85 (23.5) <sup>d</sup>	18.51 (25.5) <sup>e</sup>	35.15	16.50 (4.12) <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>4</sub>	3000 ppm Pungam oil 3 %	24.33	8.67 (2.94) <sup>a</sup>	10.00 (3.16) <sup>a</sup>	11.17 (3.34) <sup>a</sup>	7.00 (2.65) <sup>a</sup>	8.67 (2.94) <sup>a</sup>	10.33 (3.21) <sup>a</sup>	10.37 (3.22) <sup>a</sup>	64.02	19.33	20.50 (26.9) <sup>b</sup>	13.13 (21.2) <sup>c</sup>	16.82 (24.2) <sup>d</sup>	41.03	17.24 (4.21) <sup>a</sup>		
T <sub>5</sub>	Fish oil rosin soap 25 g/ L	25.67	15.00 (3.87) <sup>b</sup>	19.66 (4.43) <sup>b</sup>	22.00 (4.69) <sup>b</sup>	14.16 (3.76) <sup>b</sup>	17.00 (4.12) <sup>b</sup>	20.00 (4.47) <sup>b</sup>	18.73 (4.33) <sup>c</sup>	34.26	21.33	24.50 (29.7) <sup>d</sup>	20.33 (26.8) <sup>f</sup>	22.42 (28.3) <sup>d</sup>	21.51	14.67 (3.89) <sup>c</sup>		
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>Baeuveria</i> <i>bassiana</i>	25.17	7.00 (2.65) <sup>a</sup>	7.67 (2.77) <sup>a</sup>	9.00 (3.0) <sup>a</sup>	4.50 (2.12) <sup>a</sup>	5.17 (2.27) <sup>a</sup>	6.00 (2.45) <sup>a</sup>	7.90 (2.81) <sup>a</sup>	72.70	20.50	17.00 (24.4) <sup>a</sup>	7.50 (15.9) <sup>a</sup>	12.25 (20.5) <sup>a</sup>	57.03	18.25 (4.33) <sup>a</sup>		
T <sub>7</sub>	10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml <i>Lecanicillium</i> <i>lecanii</i>	23.17	7.33 (2.71) <sup>a</sup>	8.83 (2.97) <sup>a</sup>	10.00 (3.16) <sup>a</sup>	6.17 (2.48) <sup>a</sup>	7.50 (2.7) <sup>a</sup>	9.00 (3.0) <sup>a</sup>	9.24 (3.04) <sup>a</sup>	67.96	20.00	19.47 (26.2) <sup>b</sup>	9.84 (18.3) <sup>b</sup>	14.65 (22.5) <sup>b</sup>	48.64	17.77 (4.27) <sup>a</sup>		
T <sub>8</sub>	10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/ ml Water spray	24.67	25.50 (5.05) <sup>c</sup>	27.00 (5.20) <sup>c</sup>	27.83 (5.28) <sup>c</sup>	29.00 (5.39) <sup>c</sup>	31.33 (5.60) <sup>c</sup>	32.00 (5.66) <sup>c</sup>	28.53 (5.34) <sup>d</sup>	0.00	19.50	26.50 (31.0) <sup>c</sup>	30.60 (33.6) <sup>g</sup>	28.55 (32.3) <sup>c</sup>	0.00	12.56 (3.61) <sup>d</sup>		
	SEd	-	0.44	0.41	0.54	0.51	0.46	0.49	0.41	-	-	0.58	0.49	0.55	-	0.54		
	CD	**NS	0.91	0.84	1.10	1.04	0.94	1.01	0.84	-	*NS	1.19	1.00	1.12	-	0.12		

\*Each value is the mean of three replications; \*\*NS – Non significant; DAS-Days after spraying; Figures in parentheses square root transformed values; In a column, means followed by common letter(s) not significantly different by LSD at p = 0.05%.

%) and pungam oil (64.02 %) (Table 1). Pooled data revealed that *B. bassiana*  $10^8$  CFU/ ml led to the least damage of 12.25% compared to 28.55% in untreated control. The next best treatments were *L. lecanii*  $10^6$  CFU/ ml (14.65%) and pungam oil 3% (16.82 %). Maximum yield was obtained with *B. bassiana*  $10^8$  CFU/ ml (18.25 t/ ha), *L. lecanii*  $10^6$  CFU/ml (17.77 t/ ha) and pungam oil 3 % (17.24 t/ ha) and these were statistically on par with each other (Table 1).

*Beauveria bassiana* is a potential biological control agent against *H. antonii* causing 100% mortality in bioassay studies (Patil and Naik, 2004); and against the tea mosquito bug, *H. theivora* in Assam (Hazarika et al., 2009). Minimum number of tea mosquito bugs were observed with *B. bassiana* treatment (Manimaran et al., 2019); and @ 2.5 g/ l of water significantly reduces the leaf damage (56.00%) caused by tea mosquito bug (Ghatak et al., 2008) As, moringa is being pollinated

by bees, insecticide spraying during flowering season is not advisable. Hence alternative strategy like use of biopesticides, *B. bassiana*  $10^8$  CFU/ ml could be recommended.

## REFERENCES

- Ghatak S S, Reza M D W, Poi S C. 2008. Bio-efficacy of some biopesticides and modern synthetic pesticides against tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis theivora* Waterhouse (Hemiptera: Miridae). Research on Crops 9: 165-171.
- Hazarika L K, Budhinda M B, Hazarika N. 2009. Insect pests of tea and their management. Annual Review of Entomology 54: 267-284.
- Kotikal Y K, Math M. 2016. Insect and non-insect pests associated with drumstick, *Moringa oleifera* (Lamk.). Entomology, Ornithology and Herpetology: Current Research 5 (2): 180.
- Manimaran V, Suganthi M, Balasubramanian A, Pretheep Kumar P. 2019. Management of tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret infesting *Ailanthus excelsa* Roxb. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 7(3): 620-623.
- Patil G R, Naik K. 2004. Evaluation of mycopathogens against *Helopeltis antonii*. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 17: 337-338.

(Manuscript Received: December, 2020; Revised: January, 2021;  
Accepted: January, 2021; Online Published: May, 2021)  
Online published (Preview) in www.entosocindia.org Ref. No. e20408