

STINGLESS BEE *TETRAGONULA IRIDIPENNIS* AND HONEY BEE *APIS CERANA* POLLINATION IN CUCUMBER

AVINASH CHAUHAN* AND H K SINGH

Department of Entomology, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development Nagaland University, Medziphema 797106, Nagaland, India *Email: avi_shimla@hotmail.com (corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

Pollination in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) was studied using stingless bees, *Tetragonula iridipennis* Smith and honey bee, *Apis cerana* F. Data on the resource partitioning revealed the foraging activity of pollinators. Pollination efficiency index was observed to be maximum with *A. cerana* (24) followed by *T. iridipennis* (14), and significantly maximum fruit set (81.66 and 78.97%) was obtained with their pollination. An increase of 87.48% in fruit set, 46.47% in healthy fruits and 275.23% in seed numbers was noticed, with longer (17.85 and 17.22 cm) and heavier (0.415 and 0.411 kg) fruits in the *A. cerana* and *T. iridipennis* pollinated plots. Maximum number of healthy fruits was achieved with bee pollination as compared to open pollination and control, and *A. cerana* showed more mortality as compared to *T. iridipennis*.

Key words: *Tetragonula iridipennis, Apis cerana*, cucumber, pollination index, pollination impact, fruit set, fruit size, healthy fruits, seed number, seed weight

Honey bees, stingless bees and bumble bees are important pollinators often used for meeting the pollination requirements in different crops (Chauhan et al., 2013; Free, 1993; Mussen and Thorpe, 1995). The effectiveness of pollinator is ascertained by its pollination efficiency index (P.E.I.) (Chauhan et al., 2019) and most efficient pollinator carries and deposits plenty of pollen on stigmas as it moves from flower to flower (Kearns and Inuoye, 1997; Spears, 1983; Inouye and Pyke, 1988; Stubbs and Drummond, 1999; Dag and Kammer, 2001). All the cucurbit vegetables require pollinators for fruit set (Roubik, 1995). Cucumber is cultivated in all states of India, from temperate to tropical regions, and it is widely grown in all North Eastern states. The varieties grown are mainly monoecious and require pollination for better fruit yield and quality (Santos et al., 2008). Honey bees (A. mellifera and A. cerana) are used for managed pollination of crops in open conditions. These, when utilized under protected conditions, the results are not promising due to inability to orient in a small space and susceptibility to high temperatures sometimes resulting in loss of bee colonies. The stingless bees on the other hand have short flight range, easily orient on flowers under high temperature and do not sting workers. Recent studies have revealed that stingless bees are effective alternatives to honey bees for the pollination of many greenhouse crops. Keeping in view the enhanced use of stingless bees in pollination of different crops, present

study evaluates the pollination potential of *T. iridipennis* in cucumber under protected conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out on cucumber at the Experimental farm, AICRP Honey Bees and Pollinators, Department of Entomology, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development (25.75961°N, 93.853698°E). All agronomical practices were done as per good agricultural practices with the crop sown in the last week of February 2019 at a spacing of 60 x 90 cm. The crop germinated and came to bloom in the first week of April, 2019. After that, two colonies of stingless bee, T. iridipennis were shifted in the caged plots at 5% flowering. Similarly, one colony of A. cerana having six frames was added to the other treatment. In control, the crop was not exposed to any pollination service. Resource partitioning (relative abundance) and foraging activity of stingless bees, honey bees and other pollinators (xylocopa, solitary bees, flies, beetles) was observed under open field conditions from early morning hours (0500 hr) till late evening (1700 hr) at 2 hr interval for ten days consecutively. The foraging activity (foraging rate/ speed and loose pollen grains) were observed as per the method adopted by Chauhan and Thakur (2014). Pollination Efficiency Index was worked out for each pollinator, using the formula given by Bohart and Nye (1960). To know the impact of different pollination treatments, the female flowers/vine

were precounted. Ten plants from each treatment viz., stingless bee pollinated, *A. cerana* pollinated, control and open pollinated were selected and tagged randomly. The fruit set on these plants were then recorded and total yield was calculated on fruit set basis. The % healthy fruits and deformed fruits were computes from the data on fruit set. Ten representative fruit samples from each treatment were taken for calculating the fruit length, diameter, fruit weight, seed number/ fruit, weight of 1000 seeds. All these parameters were measured with the scale, digital Vernier caliper and digital weighing balance. Increase in production and quality parameters was also calculated along with decrease in deformed fruits.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main visitors of cucumber flowers were *A. cerana, A. dorsata, A. florea, T. iridipennis, T. laeviceps, Lophotrigona canifrons, Lepidotrigona ventralis, Halictus semiaerinus, Xylocopa tenuiscapa, Amagiella zonata, Megachile umbripennis and M. lanata (Table 1). Honey bees are known as frequent visitors of cucumber flowers besides halictids and Xylocopinae (Thakur and Rana, 2008; Santos et al., 2008; Samoskorn et al., 2010; Chauhan and Thakur, 2014; Sawatthum et al., 2017). Grewal and Sidhu (1978) reported <i>A. florea, A. mellifera, A. dorsata* and *Bombus* sp. as main insect visitors of cucurbit crops. A total of 24 insect visitors were reported by Sajjanar et al. (2004) in cucumber with hymenopterans as major visitors. In ash gourd stingless bees and honey bees were the

Table 1. Insect visitors of cucumber flowers under open conditions

S.	Species visiting	N/ P/	Frequency of
No.		N&P	Occurrence
1	Apis cerana	N&P	M.F.V.*
2	Apis dorsata	N&P	M.F.V.*
3	Apis florea	N&P	F.V.
4	Tetragonula iridipennis	N&P	M.F.V.*
5	Lophotrigona canifrons	N&P	M.F.V.*
6	Lepidotrigona ventralis	N&P	F.V.
7	Tetragonula laviceps	N&P	M.F.V.*
8	Episyrphus balteatus	Ν	F.V.
9	Mylabris pustulata	Р	F.V.
10	Raphidopalpa foveicolis	Р	F.V.
11	Halictus semiaerinus	N&P	F.V.
12	Musca sp.	EFE	L.F.V.
13	Xylocopa tenuiscapa	N&P	M.F.V.
14	Megachile lanata	N&P	F.V.
15	Megachile umbripennis	N&P	F.V.
16	Icaria guttatipennis	Ν	F.V.
17	Monomorium indicum	Ν	M.F.V.
18	Amagiella zonata	N&P	M.F.V.

N- Nectar, P- Pollen, EFE- Extra flower exudation, MFV- Most frequent visitor, LFV- Less frequent visitor, FV- Frequent visitor

predominant pollinators in Nagaland (Chauhan et al., 2019). Resource partitioning studies revealed stingless and honey bees, and other pollinators like halictids, xylocopa bees, flies, beetles and butterflies as the major beneficiaries from cucumber pollen and nectar. All these insect visitors share the resources (pollen and nectar) for their development. Similar observations had been made by McGregor (1976); Kauffeld et al. (1978); Cervancia and Bergonia (1991); Stanghellini et al. (1997); Sajjanar et al. (2004); Hanh et al. (2014); Azmi et al. (2015); Sawatthum et al. (2017). These reveal that bees are the most frequent and beneficial visitors sharing the rewards with other insects from cucumber flowers (Table 1, 2).

The activity of pollinators was more in the morning from 0500- 1100 hr which decreased in the noon. The relative abundance of A. cerana (11.58 bees/ 5 min) and T. iridipennis (10.92 bees/ 5 min) was found statistically at par in comparison to each other irrespective of time. The relative abundance of pollinators in morning time revealed higher nectar and pollen availability between 0700-1000 hr. Maximum activity of pollinators in ash gourd was between 0800-1000 hr (Chauhan et al., 2019), and in cucumber at 1000-1200 hr (Kishan et al., 2017). Similarly, Roopa (2002) observed the major peak of pollen and nectar foragers between 1000 to 1200 hr. Danaraddi (2007) observed the peak activity of T. iridipennis at 1000-1200 hr. The activities of stingless bee, Scaptotrigona aff. deplis and Nannotrigona testaceicornis was more on cucumber flowers in Brazil (Santos et al., 2008). Similarly, Singh and Chauhan (2020) observed stingless bees as the important pollinators of cucumber, and maximum activity of T. iridipennis was observed during morning and evening time in Kerala (Devanesan et al., 2002). However, it was observed that maximum numbers of flowers for pollen were visited in the morning time (Fidalgo and Kleinert, 2007). Foraging activity disclosed that honey bees have more pollination efficiency index (24.00) as compared to stingless bees (14.00) and other pollinators (3.00) (Table 2).

Significantly maximum fruit set (81.66 and 78.97%) was obtained with *A. cerana* and *T. iridipennis*) pollinated plots which is at par to each other, followed by open pollinated crop (72.00%) and pollinator excluded crop (42.12%), signifying the role of pollination in cucumber. Amano (2005) obtained maximum fruit set in cucumber using stingless bees, and it was found that honey bees are less efficient. Similarly, weight (0.415 and 0.411 kg) of fruits was observed significantly at par in the honey bee stingless bee pollination; and this is higher as compared to weight (0.386 kg) of fruits obtained in open pollination conditions and in control pollination (0.262 kg). The fruit

Time		Honey bees	bees			Stingless bees	bees			Other pollinators	inators	
(h)	*Relative	Foraging	Foraging	Loose	Relative	Foraging	Foraging	Loose	Relative	Foraging	Foraging	Loose
	abundance	rate	speed	pollen	abundance	rate	speed	pollen	abundance	rate	speed	Pollen
				grains				grains				grains
0500	9.66 (3.11)	7.25	4.33		10.50 (3.24)	8.25	5.66		2.08 (1.44)	4.66	9.91	
0200	16.33 (4.04)	8.41	4.55		16.14 (4.02)	9.50	5.66		4.18 (2.04)	7.00	9.00	
0060	17.54 (4.19)	7.66	5.11		16.58 (4.07)	8.33	4.58		7.22 (2.69)	6.16	7.08	
1100	13.42 (3.66)	6.00	4.11		11.74 (3.43)	5.91	4.41		7.81 (2.79)	3.50	5.58	
1300	12.18 (3.49)	5.33	4.00		11.46 (3.39)	6.08	3.25	07.0001	4.33 (2.08)	4.83	7.33	
1500	9.21 (3.03)	5.91	3.44	1/20±45	8.62 (2.94)	6.16	5.00	1290±08	2.00 (1.41)	4.41	7.91	402±/1
1700	2.72 (1.65)	2.66	2.22		1.41 (1.19)	3.00	1.75		1.11 (1.05)	1.58	3.25	
Mean	11.58 (3.40)	6.17	3.97		10.92 (3.30)	6.75	4.33		4.10 (2.03)	4.59	7.15	
CD	0.45	0.23	0.051		0.45	0.23	0.051					
(p=0.05)									0.45	0.23	10.0	
Pollinatic	Pollination Efficiency Index	lex		24		14				3		
*Relative a	*Relative abundance= number of foragers/ $5 \min/m^2$; Foraging rate= Number of flowers visited/ $5 \min$; Foraging speed= time spent/ flower (in seconds)	sr of foragers.	% 5 min/ m ² ;	Foraging rate=	- Number of flow	rers visited/ 5	min; Foragir	ig speed= tim	ne spent/ flower	(in seconds)		
		L	lable 3. Im	pact of mode	Table 3. Impact of modes of pollination on fruit quality and production in cucumber	n on fruit qu	uality and I	production	in cucumber			
Treatment		Fruit set		Fruit diameter	Fruit weight	Fruit length		Healthy fruit	Deformed	Number of		Weight of
		(%)		(cm)	(kg)	(cm)		(%)	fruits (%)	seeds/ fruit		1000 seeds (g)
**Apis cerana	srana	81.66	90	10.11	0.415	17.85		81.12	18.88	402		32.08
pollination	u											
*Tetragoi	*Tetragonula iridipennis	78.97	Lt	9.82	0.411	17.22		87.21	12.49	394		32.42
pollination	u											
Open pollination	ination	72.00	00	9.14	0.386	15.43		72.40	27.60	371		27.54
Pollinator	Pollinator exclusion	42.1	2	6.64	0.262	8.68		59.54	40.46	105		16.64
(control)												
CD (p=0.05))5)	4.54	54	1.12	0.29	0.95		6.66	2.61	6.94		0.18

* Stingless bee **honey bee

length was also found to follow the same trend. Azmi et al. (2017) in Malaysia and Tej et al. (2017) reported more fruit set, fruit length and fruit diameter in crop pollinated by stingless bees. Similar results were reported by Nicodemo et al. (2013) in cucumber crop pollinated by stingless bees. It is also observed that quality of fruits is increased by pollination using stingless bees (Heard, 1999). Singh and Chauhan (2020) also reported stingless bees as important pollinators of cucurbits. Similar results were reported in sweet pepper (Cruz et al., 2005) and in cucumber (Santos et al., 2008); stingless bee pollination gave significantly more healthy fruits (87.21%) and less deformed fruits (12.49%) were obtained followed by honey bee pollination (81.12 and 18.88%) and open pollination (72.40 and 27.60%). Significantly more deformed fruits (40.46%) were observed from pollination excluded plots (Table 3). Chauhan et al. (2019) reported less deformed fruits in stingless bee pollinated ash gourd. Likewise, Hodges and Baxendale (1991) reported less deformed fruits in bee pollinated cucumber vines and observed more deformed fruits otherwise. Chauhan and Thakur (2014) also reported less crooked fruits in cucumber when pollinated by bumble bees under protected conditions. Chauhan et al. (2019) observed better quality ash gourd fruits with healthy fruits when pollinated by stingless bees as compared to honey bees.

Significantly maximum seeds were produced in plots pollinated by honey bees (402) as compared to those by stingless bee (394) and open pollination (371). In contrast, seed weight of 1000 seeds was significantly more (32.42 g) in stingless bee pollinated crop (Table 3). Similar results were obtained in ash gourd (Chauhan et al., 2019), in green pepper (Santos et al., 2008), in chilli (Azmi et al., 2016), in tomatoes (Sarto et al., 2005) and in cucumber (Santos, 2004; Azmi et al., 2017) with stingless bee pollination under protected conditions. Impact of stingless bee pollination over control revealed an increase of 87.48% in fruit set, 46.47% in healthy fruits, 98.38, 47.89 and 56.87% in fruit length, diameter and weight. Reduction in deformed fruits (69.13 %) was also observed in stingless bee pollinated plants. The seeds number increased by 275.23% and an increase of 94.83% was reported on introduction of stingless bees as a pollinator of cucumber crop. Similarly, Azmi et al. (2017) reported with stingless bee pollination, the fruits were heavier and longer in cucumber. However, no significant differences were observed in seed weight. Likewise, in Australia, Occhiuzzi (2000) reported 11% increase in fruit weight and 34% in number of seeds/ fruit when sweet pepper was pollinated by Trigona carbonaria under greenhouse conditions. Viana et al. (2014) also observed more fruit and seed production in honey bee

plus stingless bee pollinated apple crop. Similarly, Nunes-Silva et al. (2013) reported *M. fasciculata* as an efficient pollinator of eggplants which increased the fruit set by 29.50% in Brazil. Similarly, Rajasri et al. (2012) observed increased seed yield in sunflower with stingless bee pollination, and honey bee revealed more mortality (13%) as compared to stingless bees (5.1%). Thus, for effective pollination of cucumber under caged conditions *T. iridipennis* is more suitable than *A. cerana*. This is because, initially for acclimatization, *A. cerana* worker mortality was observed while in *T. iridipennis*, the mortality was very less. However, under open conditions, both pollinators can effectively pollinate the crop.

REFERENCES

- Amano K. 2005. Attempts to introduce stingless bees for the pollination of crops under greenhouse conditions in Japan. Food and Fertilizer Technology Center, Taipei. pp. 1-9.
- Azmi W A, Zulqurnain N S, Ghazi R. 2015. Melissopalynology and foraging activity of stingless bees, *Lepidotrigona terminata* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) from an apiary in Besut. Journal of Sustainability Science and Management 10(1): 27-35.
- Azmi W A, Seng C T, Solihin N S. 2016. Pollination efficiency of the stingless bee, *Heterotrigona itama* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on chili (*Capsicum annuum*) in greenhouse. Journal of Tropical Plant Physiology 8: 1-11.
- Azmi W A, Samsuri N, Hatta M F M, Ghazi R, Seng C T. 2017. Effects of stingless bee (*Heterotrigona itama*) pollination on greenhouse cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) 46(1): 51-55.
- Bui M, Singh H K, Alemnila A O, Chauhan A, Behere G T. 2020. Diagnostics of wild stingless bees from North East India. Indian Journal of Entomology 82(12): 337-342.
- Bohart G E, Nye W P. 1960. Insect pollination of carrots in Utah. Bulletin of Utah Agriculture Experimental Station 419: 16-17.
- Cahuich O, Quezada Euan J J G, Melendez R V, Valdovinos Nunez O, Moo Valle H. 2006. Pollination of habanero pepper (*Capsicum chinese*) and production in enclosures using stingless bee *Nannotrigona perilampoides*. Journal of Apicultural Research 45: 125-130.
- Cervancia C R, Bergonia E A. 1991. Insect pollination of cucumber in the Philippines. Acta Horticulturae 288: 278-282.
- Chauhan A, Katna S, Rana B S. 2013. Life cycle of bumble bee *Bombus haemorrhoidalis* Smith in Himachal Pradesh. Insect Environment 19(3): 183-186.
- Chauhan A, Singh H K, Kumaranag K M. 2019. Pollination potential of stingless bee, *Tetragonula iridipennis* Smith in ash gourd. Indian Journal of Entomology 81(4): 854-859.
- Chauhan A, Thakur R K. 2014. Studies on nest architecture and pollination potential of bumble bee *Bumbus haemorrhoidalis*. Indian Journal of Ecology 41(1): 158-164.
- Cruz D O, Freitas B M, Silva L A, Silva E M S, Bonfim I G A. 2005. Pollination efficiency of the stingless bee, *Melipona subnitida* on greenhouse sweet pepper. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Braseleira 40(12): 1197-1201.
- Dag A, Kammer Y. 2001. Comparison between the effectiveness of honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) and bumble bee (*Bombus terrestris*) as pollinators of greenhouse sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum*). American Bee Journal 141: 447-448.
- Danaraddi C S. 2007. Studies on stingless bee, *Trigona iridipennis* Smith with special reference to foraging behaviour and melissopalynology

at Dharwad, Karnataka. M. Sc. Thesis. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.

- Devanesan S, Nisha M M, Bennet R, Shailaja K K. 2002. Foraging behaviour of stingless bees, *Trigona iridipennis* Smith. Insect Environment 8(3): 131-133.
- Fidalgo A O, Kleinert A M P. 2007. Foraging behaviour of *Melipona rufiventris* Lepeletier (Apinae; Meliponini) in Ubatuba, SP, Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Biology 67(1): 133-140.
- Free J B. 1993. Insect pollination of crops, 2nd edn. U.K. Academic Press, London. pp. 544.
- Grewal G S, Sidhu G. 1978. Insect pollination of some cucurbits in Punjab. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 48: 79-83.
- Hanh T T M, Sharma S K, Rana M K. 2014. Pollination efficiency of native bee pollinators of cucumber, (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in India. Journal of Apiculture 29(3): 199-205.
- Heard T A. 1999. The role of stingless bees in crop pollination. Annual Review of Entomology 44: 183-206.
- Hodges L, Baxendale F. 1991. Bee pollination of cucurbit crops. Report of University of Nebraska-Lincoln Cooperative Extension, NF: 91-150.
- Inouye D W, Pyke G H. 1988. Pollination biology in the snowy mountains of Australia: comparisons with montane Colorado, USA. Australian Journal of Ecology 13: 191-205.
- Kauffeld N M, Hernandez T, Wright J, Misaraca S. 1978. Insects collected from cucumber plants during a pollination study. Journal of Georgia Entomological Society 13(1): 67-71.
- Kukutani T, Inoue T, Maeta Y. 1993. Pollination of strawberry by the stingless bee, *Trigona minangkabao*, and the honeybee, *Apis mellifera*: An experimental study of fertilization efficiency. Research Population Ecology 35: 95-111.
- Kishan T M, Srinivasan M R, Rajashree V, Thakur R K. 2017. Stingless bee *Tetragonula iridipennis* Smith for pollination of greenhouse cucumber. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5(4): 1729-1733.
- Kearns C A, Inouye DW. 1997. Techniques for pollination biologists. University Press of Colorado, Colorado.
- Macias M J O, Quezada-Euan J J G, Parra-Tabla V. 2001. Comportamiento y efi ciencia de polinization de las abejas sin aguijón (*Nannotrigona perilampoides*) en el cultivo del tomate (*Lycopersicum esculentum* M) bajo condiciones de invernadero em Yucatán, Mexico, II Seminario mexicano sobre abejas sin aguijón uma vision sobre su biología y cultivo. Memorias. Universidade Autonoma de Yucatán Facultad de Medicina Veterinária y Zootecnia. Mérida. pp. 119-124.
- Maeta Y T, Tezuka H N, Suzuki K. 1992. Utilization of the Brazilian stingless bee *Nannotrigona testaceicornis* as a pollinator of strawberry. Honey Bee Science 13: 71-78.
- Malagodi-Braga K S, Kleinert A M P. 2004. Could *Tetragonisca* angustula Latreille (Apinae, Meliponini) be used as strawberry pollinator in greenhouses? Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 55: 771-773.
- McGregor S E. 1976. Insect pollination of cultivated crop plants. Washington Agricultural Research Service, USDA. pp. 399.
- Mussen E C, Thorp R W. 1995. Honeybee pollination of cantaloupe, cucumber and watermelon. U.C. Apiaries, Newsletter 99: 4-5.
- Nicodemo D, Malheiros E B, Jong D D, Couto R H N. 2013. Enhanced production of parthenocarpic cucumbers pollinated with stingless bees and Africanized honey bee in greenhouses. Ciencias Agrarias 34(6): 3625-3634.

Nunes-Silva P, Hrncir M, Da Silva C I, Roldao Y S, Imperatriz-Fonsica

V L. 2013. Stingless bees, *Melipona fasciculata* as efficient pollinators of eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) in greenhouses. Apidologie 44: 537-546.

- Occhiuzzi P. 2000. Stingless bees pollinate greenhouse capsicum. Aussie Bee 13, 15. Published by Australian Nature Bee Research Centre, North Richmond NSW Australia.
- Rajasri M, Kanakadurga K, Durga R V, Anuradha. 2012. Honey bee potential pollinators in hybrid seed production of sunflower. International journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology 3(2): 123-125.
- Roopa C A. 2002. Bioecology of stingless bees, *Trigona iridipennis* Smith. M Sc (Agri) Thesis. University of Bangalore.
- Roubik D W. 1995. Pollination of cultivated plants in the tropics. FAO Agricultural Services Bullettin 118: 53.
- Sajjanar S M, Kuberappa G C, Prabhuswamy H P. 2004. Insect visitors of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) and the role of honeybee *Apis cerana* F., in its pollination. Pest Management and Economic Zoology 12(1): 23-31.
- Samoskorn J, Posri N, Pantong P. 2010. Efficacy of *Trigona pegdeni* for pollination of f1 hybrid cucumber. Special Problem of Plant Science. Efficacy of *Trigona pegdeni* for pollination of F1 hybrid cucumber.
- Sarto M C L, Peruquetti R C, Campos L A O. 2005. Evaluation of the Neotropical stingless bee, *Melipona quadrifasciata* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) as pollinator of greenhouse tomatoes. Journal of Economic Entomology 98: 260-266.
- Santos S A B. 2004. Pollination of cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* by stingless bees (Hymenoptera, Meliponini), Proceedings. 8th IBRA International Conference on Tropical Bees and VI Encontro sobre Abelhas. 689 pp.
- Santos S A B, Roselino A C, Bego L R. 2008. Pollination of cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L (Cucurbitales: Cucurbitacae) by the stingless bees, *Scaptotrigona* aff. *deplis* Moure and *Nanotrigona testaceicornis* Leptelier (Hymenoptera: Meliponini) in greenhouse. Neotropical Entomology 37 (5): 506-512
- Sawatthum A, Jitake P, Rangyai O, Prangprayong R, Pimboon P, Suparit K. 2017. Efficacy of stingless bee *Lepidotrigona terminata* as insect pollinator of f1 hybrid cucumber. International Journal of Geomate 13(37): 98-102.
- Singh H K, Chauhan A. 2020. Beekeeping in Nagaland with stingless beespresent and future. Rassa Journal of Science for Society 2(1): 41-45.
- Spears E E. 1983. A direct measure of pollinator effectiveness. Oecologie 57: 196-199.
- Stanghellini M S, Ambrose J T, Schultheis J R. 1997. The effects of honeybee and bumble bee pollination on fruit set and abortion of cucumber and watermelon. American Bee Journal 137: 386-391.
- Stubbs C S, Drummond F A. 1999. Pollination of low bush blueberry by Anrhophora pilipes villosula and Bombus impatiens (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae and Apidae). Journal of Kansas Entomological Society 72: 330-333.
- Thakur M, Rana R S. 2008. Studies on the role of insect pollination on cucumber yield. Pest Technology 2: 130-133.
- Tej M K, Srinivasan M R, Vijayakumar K, Natarajan N, Kumar S M. 2017. Morphometry analysis of stingless bee *Tetragonula iridipennis* Smith. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 6(10): 2963-2970.
- Viana B F, Coutinho J G E, Garibaldi L A, Gastagnino G L B, Gramacho K P, Silva F O. 2014. Stingless bees further improve apple pollination and production. Journal of Pollination Ecology 14(25): 261-269.

(Manuscript Received: September, 2020; Revised: January, 2021; Accepted: January, 2021; Online Published: July, 2021) Online published (Preview) in www.entosocindia.org Ref. No. e20323