DoI. No.: 10.55446/IJE.2024.1868



Indian Journal of Entomology Online published Ref. No. e24868

EFFECT OF NEWER INSECTICIDES AGAINST THE MAJOR INSECT PESTS OF GROUNDNUT

N PRIYANKA^{1*}, O SHAILA², M ANURADHA³, V DIVYA RANI⁴ AND M RAJASHEKHAR⁵

¹Department of Entomology, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, Telangana, India
²Department of Entomology Krishi Vignanya Kendram, Palem,
Nagarkurnool District 509203, Telangana, India
³Department of Entomology, VPM, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, Telangana, India
⁴Department of Pathology, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem,
Nagarkurnool District 509203, Telangana, India
⁵IBT, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, Telangana, India
*Email: priyankaneeli89@gmail.com (corresponding author): ORCID ID 0009-0001-9785-8104

ABSTRACT

Efficacy of some newer insecticides were tested against the groundnut leaf miner *Aproaerema modicella* (Deventer), tobacco caterpillar *Spodoptera litura* (F), gram pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and natural enemies (coccinellids) of groundnut. Tolfenpyrad @ 2.5ml/ l was significantly superior in controlling defoliator pests. The next best treatments were tolfenpyrad @ 2ml/ l, spinetoram @ 0.5ml/l, tolfenpyrad @ 1.5ml/ l, thiamethoxam+ lambdacyhalothrin @ 0.4ml/ l. The highest incremental cost benefit ratio (ICBR) was obtained in the treatments with tolfenpyrad @ 2.5ml/ l.

Key words: Efficacy, groundnut, *Aproraema modicella*, *Spodoptera litura*, *Helicoverpa armigera*, natural enemies, coccinellids, insecticides, defoliator pests, tolfenpyrad, spinetoram, incremental cost benefit ratio (ICBR)

Arachis hypogea L., groundnut, is grown in tropical and subtropical regions all over the world, it is a widely grown oilseed crop in India. There are a number of factors limiting groundnut output, but insect pests provide the most risk. Among the different insect pests leaf miner Aproaerema modicella (Deventer), tobacco caterpillar Spodoptera litura (Fabricius), Thrips palmi (Karni), Scirtothrips dorsalis (Hood), jassids Empoasca Kerri (Pruthi), termite Odontotermis obesus (Rambur) causes most of the damage as reported by Atwal and Dhaliwal, 2008. Defoliators cause direct damage to foliage by voracious feeding on it, and they feed on chlorophyll content, which drastically reduces the yield. H. armigera and S. litura are reported to cause damage to more than 180 crops. Chemical management is mostly preferred by the farmers therefore, the present work was conducted to find out the effective chemical against the defoliator pests and safer to the natural enemies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was carried out at the Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem. PJTSAU, Nagarkurnool district during the rabi, 2021-22. Groundnut variety K-6 was grown in 5×5 m² area of plots with 22.5×10 cm spacing. The experiment

was taken up with 8 treatments and 3 replications in a randomized block design (RBD) to investigate the efficacy of different insecticides like tolfenpyrad @ 1.5 ml/ l and tolfenpyrad @ 2 ml/ l, tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/l, spinetoram @ 0.5 ml/l, thiamethoxam + lambdacyhalothrin @ 0.4 ml/ l, clothianidin @ 0.3g/ 1, afidopyropen @ 2 ml/1 and sulfoxaflor @ 0.5 ml/1. Two sprays were taken, and the first spray was given after the pest reached ETL. The periodic observations on A. modicella (No. of webs/plant), S. litura (No. of larvae/plant), H. armigera (No. of larvae/plant) and coccinellids. The observations on insect pests population and coccinellids were recorded on 1 day before the spray and 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after the spray and pooled mean of two sprays were used. OPSTAT was used to analyze the data. Using the Poisson formula $\sqrt{X+0.5}$, the average number of defoliators was square root transformed.

Per cent reduction over control (PRC %) of insect pest population in treatments over control was estimated by using the formula of Abbott (1925).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean reduction over control after first and second spray against *A. modicella* revealed that among

Table 1. Effect of insecticides on the incidence of pests and coccinellids on groundnut

		Mean	Mean incidence and % reduction over	and %	reduction	n over							
			control	control of two sprays	sprays								
	A. modicella	licella	S. litura	ıra	H. armigera		Cocci- nellids						
Treatments								Total			A 113.43.43.41	Net	
	Pooled Mean	PRC	Pooled Mean	PRC	Pooled Mean	PRC	Pooled Mean	cost (Rs.)	Yield Kg ha-1	Additional yield	Additional income (Rs.)	profit per ha (Rs.)	ICBR
Tolfenpyrad 15%EC 1.5 ml/1	1.99	79.0	1.95	74.0	1.58	71.2	1.25	3490	1725	302	17516	14026	1:4.01
Tolfenpyrad 15%EC 2 ml/1	1.70	81.3	1.55	80.0	1.25	76.3	1.11	4180	1827	431	23432	19252	1:4.60
Tolfenpyrad 15%EC 2.5 ml/1	1.49	85.6	1.28	83.5	1.19	77.8	1.01	5030	1933	510	29580	24550	1:4.88
Spinetoram 11.7%SC 0.5 ml/1	1.62	80.1	1.72	77.6	1.43	72.7	86.0	3600	1755	332	19256	15656	1:4.38
Thiamethoxam 12.6+ lambdacyhalotrin 9.5% ZC 0.4 ml/1	2.00	74.7	2.28	69.4	1.70	69.4	0.93	2620	1627	204	11832	9212	1:3.51
Clothianidin 50%WDG 0.3g/1	3.09	50.5	2.90	51.9	2.72	40.7	0.75	3975	1823	400	23200	19225	1:4.83
Afidopyropen 50g/ IDC 2 ml/ 1	3.33	48.4	3.14	49.3	2.82	35.6	0.70	4398	1798	375	21750	17352	1:3.94
Sulfoxaflor 21.89% SC 0.5ml/1	3.44	47.7	3.45	43.5	3.05	26.9	0.58	3252	1610	187	10846	7594	1:2.33
Control	5.80		5.52		3.82		2.17		1423				
C D (p=0.05)	0.20		0.19		0.19		0.16						
C.V(%)	4.22		4.02		5.07		9.27						
SEm±	90.0		90.0		90.0		0.05						
DDC 0/ moduction original													

PRC- % reduction over control

all the treatments tested tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/ 1 was most effective in the reduction of leaf miner population (85.6%). Further the order of superiority was tolfenpyrad @ 2.0 ml/1 (81.3%), spinetoram @ 0.5 ml/1 (80.1%). Sulfoxaflor @ 0.5 ml/ l with 47.7% PRC showed least effect on the leaf miner incidence (Table 1). The observations on the incidence of S. litura revealed that there was more reduction of the larvae by the spray of tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/1 (83.5%), followed by tolfenpyrad @ 2.0 ml/1 (80%), spinetoram @ 0.5 ml/1 (77.6%), tolfenpyrad @ 1.5 ml/ l (74.0%). Maximum incidence of S. litura larvae was observed in the plots sprayed with sulfoxaflor @ 0.5 ml/1 (43.5%). The data of mean reduction over control from both the sprays revealed that tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/ 1 (77.8%) PRC was found more effective than other chemicals on the incidence of *H. armigera*.

The pooled mean from both the sprays revealed that the plots sprayed with tolfenpyrad @ 1.5 ml/ 1 had comparatively a greater number of coccinellids with 1.25 adults/plant followed by tolfenpyrad @ 2.0 ml/ 1 (1.11 adults/ plant), tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/ 1 (1.01 adults/ plant), spinetoram @ 0.5 ml/1 (0.98 adults/plant). Whereas the treatments sulfoxaflor @ 0.5 ml/ 1 had less number of coccinellids incidence (0.58 adults/ plant). The data pertaining to incremental cost-benefit ratio (ICBR) of the different insecticides against defoliator pests of groundnut. From the different treatments the highest ICBR was obtained by the spraying tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/l (1:4.88), followed by tolfenpyrad @2.0 ml/l (1:4.60) and spinetoram @ 0.5 ml/1(1:4.38) which were effective in controlling leaf miner, S. litura and H. armigera. While clothianidin @ 0.3g/1(1:4.83), and afidopyropen @ 2 ml/1 (1:3.94) the ICBR was comparatively high wherein these treatments were superior in controlling defoliator pests.

The present findings are in line with Raut et al. (2021) who found that spinetoram 11.7SC was effective in the reduction of leaf miner population and there was a minimum reduction of 9.78% by the spray of spinetoram. Hafsi et al. (2012) observed that spinetoram was effective in minimizing the population of leaf miner and it was found as effective chemical compared to others. Hanafy and Sayed (2013) revealed that spinetoram was proved to be highest efficacy in controlling the leaf miner incidence. Narendra et al. (2018) found that the spray of tolfenpyrad 15 % EC was proved to be effective against the tobacco caterpillar. Veeranna (2020) reported that spinetoram @ 0.5 ml/1 was superior in controlling defoliators of sunflower.

According to Navya et al. (2021) spinetoram @ 0.7 ml/1 and 0.5 ml/1 recorded lower incidence of S. litura with 0.17 and 0.25 larvae/mrl. Spinetoram was found to be more effective in the reduction of S. litura larval population in soybean Bokan et al. (2021). The results are also in line with Jat et al. (2016) who revealed that the spraying of spinetoram resulted in the less incidence of the larvae of H. armigera. Aftab et al. (2020) found that the *H. armigera* was reduced by (82.28%) by the spray of spinetoram. Dharne and Bagde (2011) tested the efficacy of spinetoram against the H. armigera and reported that spinetoram @ 60g a.i / ha showed much effective on the larvae of H. armigera. Navya et al. (2021) revealed that spinetoram @ 0.7 ml/l and 0.5 ml/l presented a little effect on the natural enemy population. Vishnupriya and Mutukrishnan found that the plots sprayed with spinetoram @ 36 g a.i./ ha and 45 g a.i./ hal had a greater number of coccinellids in comparison to other treatments. Mallick et al. (2016) tested different dosages of tolfenpyrad @ 125 and 150 g a.i./ ha and concluded that tolfenpyrad had a little effect on the natural enemies. Based on the results obtained the best treatment in controlling defoliators (A. modicella, S. litura and H. armigera) was tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/ 1 followed by tolfenpyrad @ 2.0 ml/1 and spinetoram 0.5 g/l. The % reduction of A. modicella, S. litura and H. armigera over the control was highest by spraying of tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/l, tolfenpyrad @ 2 ml/l and spinetoram @ 0.5 ml/ l. The incremental cost-benefit ratio (ICBR) of the different insecticides against the major defoliator pests of groundnut revealed the among different treatments the highest ICBR was obtained by the spraying tolfenpyrad @ 2.5 ml/l.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Head of the Department. Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad and Associate Director of Research, RARS, Palem, PJTSAU for providing necessary facilities and support for conducting experiments

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The authors thank PJTSAU for providing all the financial support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

PN conducted the experiment and wrote the manuscript. SO designed the research. DRV and AM provided the seed material for conducting the

experiment. R helped in statistical analysis of data. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abbott W S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology 18: 265-267.
- Aftab M, Mailk M K, Zubair M, Sikander A. 2020. Evaluation of synthetic insecticides against capitulum borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* H.) infesting sunflower. Pure and Applied biology 9(3): 1800-1806.
- Atwal A S, Dhaliwal G S. 2008. Agricultural pests of South Asia and their management. Publ. Rajendernagar, Ludhiana. 274 pp.
- Bokan S C, Zanwar P R, Badgujar A G, Kadam D R. 2021. Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides against *Spodoptera litura* in soybean. International Journal of Chemical Studies 9(1): 3097-3099.
- Dharne P K, Bagde A S. 2011. Bioefficacy of novel insecticides, spinetoram 12 SC (11.7w/w) against thrips, *Scirtothrips dorsalis* (H.) and fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (H.) in chilli. Pestology 35(3): 23-26.
- Hafsi A K, Chermiti B, Marathi B. 2012. Response of the tomato leaf

- miner *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) to thirteen insecticides in semi natural conditions in Tunisia. Bulletin OEPP/EPPO 42(2): 312-316.
- Hanafy H E, Sayed W. 2013. Efficacy of bio and chemical insecticides in control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting tomato plants. American Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 7(2): 943-948.
- Jat B L, Yadav S S, Roshan L. 2016. Bioassay of new chemistry insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* on chickpea. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 44(2): 185-188.
- Mallick S, Chand P, Mandal S K. 2016. Tolfenpyrad 15% EC, A new pyrazole insecticide, Against sucking pests of okra and its impact on natural enemies. Indian Journal of Entomology 78(1): 51-55.
- Narendra K, Upadhyay S N, Lokesh M, Kanchana P J, Hareram, B. 2018. Bio-efficacy of some newly evolved novel insecticides against diamond back moth and tobacco caterpillar in cabbage. Journal of Entomology and Zoology 6(2): 741-747.
- Navya M, Duraimurugan P, Bhowmick A K. 2021. Effect of Spinetoram 11.7 SC and some newer chemicals against *Spodoptera litura* (F.) on soybean. Biological Forum 13(2): 720-724.
- Raut M G, Bade B A, Banosde G M, Kulkarni S R, Rajeinmbalkar V M. 2021. Bio-efficacy of insecticides against leaf miner infesting pea. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 10(6): 190-194.
- Veeranna R. 2020. Efficacy of newer insecticidal molecules against defoliators in sunflower. Journal of Experimental Zoology India 23(2): 1-4.

(Manuscript Received: December, 2023; Revised: January, 2023; Accepted: January, 2024; Online Published: February, 2024)
Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e24868