

POPULATION DYNAMICS OF MANGO FRUIT FLIES BACTROCERA SPP.

S NAZIYA BEGAM*, S MOHAMED JALALUDDIN¹, S SITHANANTHAM², M Shanthi, S Thiruvudainambi³ and A Beaulah⁴

Department of Entomology; ³Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College and Research Institute (AC&RI), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Madurai 625104, Tamil Nadu, India ¹Department of Agricultural Entomology, National Pulses Research Centre (TNAU), Vamban 622303, Tamil Nadu, India ²Sun Agro Biotech Research Centre, 3/1978, Main Road, Madanandapuram, Chennai 600125, Tamil Nadu, India ⁴Department of Horticulture, AC & RI, TNAU, Killikulam 628252, Tamil Nadu, India *Email: naziyachandhu.1410@gmail.com (corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

Population dynamics of fruit flies *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel and *B. correcta* Bezzi were studied in mango ecosystem at Veppalampatti location in Krishnagiri district of Tamil Nadu from October 2017 to September 2018. The fluctuations in the occurrence of adult fruit flies were assessed by methyl eugenol trap catches at fortnight intervals in fixed locations. The results revealed that *B. dorsalis* reached the first peak (116.00 flies/ trap) in first fortnight of June, 2018. Relatively, the initial counts of *B. correcta* was maximum and the first peak (195.00 flies/ trap) was observed during first fortnight of October, 2017. Correlation of trap catches with weather parameters revealed that *B. dorsalis* trap catches were influenced to an extent of 63%, whereas *B. correcta* occurrence was correlated with rainfall only.

Key words: *Bactrocera dorsalis*, *B.correcta*, mango, trap catches, peaks, weather parameters, correlation coefficients, regression

Mango is one of the most popular fruit crops, it is considered as the national fruit of India (Mukherjee, 1958). Tephritid fruit flies represent the most economically important group of polyphagous dipterous pests, affecting the production and marketability of mango (Robinson, 1989). The Oriental fruit fly Bactrocera dorsalis Hendel and the guava fruit fly Bactrocera correcta Bezzi are the two main fruit fly species in mango ecosystem in India (Kapoor, 1993). Both these are attracted to the parapheromone, methyl eugenol which is used in traps for monitoring and management (Verghese et al., 2006). In Karnataka, the more common species of fruit fly infesting mango fruits are B. dorsalis, B. correcta and B. zonata Saunders (Verghese and Sudha Devi, 1998). Among abiotic factors, weather parameters influence the pest population. Prediction of fruit fly incidence in advance helps the farmers to schedule the management strategies (Jayanthi and Verghese, 2011). Such studies on the population dynamics of fruit flies in mango with focus on B. dorsalis had been done (Gajalakshmi et al., 2011). The present study is on the peak activity of fruit fly in relation to local weather factors in representative mango growing tracts in Krishnagiri district of Tamil Nadu.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was taken up on Bangalora variety in a mango orchard at Veppalampatti village of Krishnagiri District from first fortnight of October 2017 to the second fortnight of September 2018. The fruit flies caught using two methyl eugenol traps (standard white jar traps- 15 cm high x 10 cm dia) supplied by Sun Agro Biotech Research Centre, Chennai) were assessed with traps placed in two locations. The study focused on the two common species B. dorsalis and B. correcta. Two traps were hung in outer and lower branches of the selected mango trees, with a distance of 50 m between each trap at about 2 m height above the ground. The fruiting period of mango in Veppalampatti village was from April to August. The species collected from each trap were identified as per the taxonomic key provided by David and Ramani (2011). The counts obtained at fortnightly intervals were analysed for correlation with weather parameters viz., maximum and minimum temperature (°C), rainfall (mm) as per

statistical methodology detailed in Gomez and Gomez (1983).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results on the trap catches revealed the occurrence of *B. dorsalis* and *B. correcta*, showing considerable variation from October 2017 to September 2018. The initial *B. dorsalis* catch during 1st fortnight of October 2017 was 22.00 flies/ trap; this decreased further (8.00 flies/ trap) in 2nd fortnight of October 2017 and reached its lowest level (1.50 flies/ trap) during second fortnight of March, 2018. Thereafter the adult catches showed significant increase from first fortnight of April, 2018 (15.00 flies/ trap) which reached a first peak (116.00 flies/ trap) in first fortnight of June, 2018. The catches declined gradually (105.50 flies/ trap) from second fortnight of June, 2018 and reached the lowest level (17.00 flies/ trap) during second fortnight of September, 2018 (Fig. 1). These results are in conformity with the findings of Gajalakshmi et al. (2011) who observed a peak of *B. dorsalis* during June. Bansode and Patel (2018) also reported similar trend from South Gujarat, while Verghese and Sudhadevi (1998) observed peak occurrence in June and August. The present observations agree with those of Nair (1995) on *B. dorsalis* that peak was during June to July; and those of Ravikumar and Viraktamath (2006) and Ranjitha and Viraktamath (2006) who observed that B. dorsalis occurred in mango orchard at Dharwad, during late July.

In case of *B. correcta*, the first peak was observed (195.00 flies/ trap) during first fortnight of October, 2017; then it decreased from second fortnight of October (76.00 flies/ trap) to first fortnight of March (0.00 flies/ trap). The catches increased again from second fortnight

250 -----------------------B.dorsalis 200 B.correcta -Max.Temp Min.Temp 150 Rainfall 100 50 0 1st fortnight of January 2nd fortnight of January 1st fortnight of February fortnight of November 1st fortnight of December 2nd fortnight of August 1st fortnight of October 2nd fortnight of October lst fortnight of November 2nd fortnight of December 1st fortnight of March 2nd fortnight of March 2nd fortnight of July st fortnight of August st fortnight of September 2nd fortnight of September 1st fortnight of April 2nd fortnight of April lst fortnight of may 2nd fortnight of may fortnight of June 2nd fortnight of June 1st fortnight of July 2nd fortnight of Febr lst pu Fig. 1. Population dynamics of B. dorsalis and B. correcta in mango (2017-2018)

of March (2.50 flies/ trap) and reached a second peak during first fortnight of May, 2018 (9.00 flies/ trap), with further catches fluctuating between second fortnight of May (4.00 flies/ trap) to first fortnight of June (9.50 flies/ trap). The third peak was during second fortnight of June (15.00 flies/ trap) which declined from first fortnight of July (3.00 flies /trap) to reach the least numbers in second fortnight of September (1.00 flies/ trap) (Fig. 1). These findings are in line with those of Suresh Babu and Viraktamath (2003), and contradictory to those of Gajalakshmi et al. (2011) who reported that *B. correcta* peak occurrence was during May at Coimbatore, Kanyakumari and Paiyur. The match in peak with fruiting period in local mango crop was similarly reported by Sarada et al. (2001) with maximum fly catches from May to July coinciding with the fruit maturity period at Tirupati in Andhra Pradesh.

Trap catches of *B. dorsalis* showed a significant positive correlation with maximum (r = 0.742) and minimum temperature (r = 0.640), but not correlated with rainfall; the weather factors together influence the catches to the extent of 63% (Table 1). Agarwal et al. (1995) observed a significant positive correlation between trap catches of *B. dorsalis* and maximum and minimum temperatures. Correlation of *B. dorsalis* trap catches and weather parameters showed a significant positive relationship with maximum and minimum temperatures, and negative one with rainfall and relative humidity (Kannan and Rao, 2006). Deng et al. (2006) also found that temperature and rainfall had significant effects.

The trap catches of *B. correcta* revealed a significant positive correlation with rainfall (r = 0.533), but not with temperatures; weather factors together influence the trap catches to the extent of 53% (Table 1). These results are in line with those of Jalaluddin et al. (2001) who reported that B. correcta trap catches showed a significant positive correlation with minimum temperature and rainfall in guava ecosystem in Tamil Nadu. The present results corroborates with those of Sarada et al. (2001) and Hasyim et al. (2008) that rainfall exhibits a positive and highly significant correlation. The present results are not in agreement with those of Sushil Kumar et al. (1997) that B. correcta trap catches exhibited a positive correlation with maximum and minimum temperature, whereas, rainfall, sunshine hours and relative humidity had no significant effect. Variations in the relationship between trap catches and weather parameters point out that population of fruit flies mainly depends on the availability of host crop.

Fruit fly species	Correlation coefficient (r)				Coefficient of
	Max. temp. (°C)	Min. Temp. (⁰ C)	Rainfall (mm)	Multiple linear regression equation	determination
	(X_1)	(X ₂)	(X_3)	(Y)	(R^{2})
B. dorsalis	0.742**	0.640**	0.035 ^{NS}	$Y = -334.37 + 9.01X_1 + 3.75X_2 - 0.77X_3$	0.626
B. correcta	-0.245 ^{NS}	0.014 ^{NS}	0.680**	$Y = 156.64 - 4.37X_1 - 0.73X_2 + 8.70X_3$	0.529

Table 1. Relations between weather parameters and trap catches of *Bactrocera* spp.

 $X_1 =$ maximum temperature; $X_2 =$ minimum temperature; $X_3 =$ rainfall; Y = no. of fruit flies; **Significant p= 0.01; NS= Non significant

Similar results were also obtained by Shekrappa et al. (1998) and Madhura (2001).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to the Dean (SPGS) for the financial support; also, to the Director, Sun Agro Biotech Research Centre, Chennai, for their technical support.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal M L, Rahman S, Singh S P N, Yazdani S S. 1995. Weather conditions and population dynamics of *Bactrocera dorsalis*. Journal of Research, Birsa Agricultural University 7: 149-151.
- Bansode G M, Patel Z P. 2018. Effect of weather parameters on population fluctuation of mango fruit flies, *Bactrocera* spp. International Journal of Chemical Studies 6 (5): 27-30.
- David K J, Ramani S. 2011. An illustrated key to fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) of Peninsular India and Andaman & Nicobar Islands. Zootaxa 3021: 1-31.
- Deng Y, Zheng Y, Zhang Hong R U I. 2006. Population dynamics of Bactrocera dorsalis, B. cucurbitae and B. tau (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Xishuangbanna. Southwest China Journal of Agricultural Sciences 19 (4): 643-648.
- Gajalakshmi S, Revathi K, Sithanantham S, Anbuselvi A. 2011. The effects of weather factors on the population dynamics of mango fruit flies, *Bactrocera spp.* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Hexapoda 18 (2): 148-149.
- Gomez K A, Gomez A A. 1983. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley, New York (Second edition). 680 pp.
- Hasyim A, Muryati W J, Kogel D E. 2008. Population fluctuation of the adult males of the fruit fly, *Bactrocera tau* Walker (Diptera: Tephritidae) in passion fruit orchards in relation to abiotic factors and sanitation. Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science 9 (1): 29-33.
- Jalaluddin S M, Natarajan K, Sadakathulla S. 2001. Population fluctuation of the guava fruit fly, *Bactrocera correcta* (Bezzi) in relation to hosts and abiotic factors. Journal of Experimental Zoology India 4: 323 - 327.
- Jayanthi P D K, Verghese A. 2011. Host-plant phenology and weatherbased forecasting models for population prediction of the oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel. Crop Protection 30 (12): 1557-1562.

- Kannan M, Rao N V. 2006. Ecological studies on mango fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences 14 (2): 340-342.
- Kapoor V C. 1993. Indian fruit flies. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co Pvt Ltd. 228 pp.
- Madhura H S. 2001. Management of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) using physical and chemical attractants. M Sc (Agri) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. 80 pp.
- Mukhergee S K. 1958. The origin of mango. Indian Journal of Horticulture 15: 129-134
- Nair M R G K. 1995. Insects and mites of crops in India. Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), New Delhi. p. 83.
- Ranjitha A R, Viraktamath S. 2006. Investigations on the population dynamics of fruit flies in mango orchard at Dharwad. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 19(1): 134-137.
- Ravikumar C H, Viraktamath S. 2006. Influence of weather parameters on fruit fly trap catches in Dharwad, Karnataka. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems 12 (2): 143-151
- Robinson A S, Hooper G. 1989. Fruit flies: their biology, natural enemies, and control. Elsevier. 372 pp.
- Sarada G, Maheswari T U, Purushotham K. 2001. Seasonal incidence and population fluctuation of fruit flies in mango and guava. Indian Journal of Entomology 63 (3): 272-276.
- Shekrappa Viraktamath S A, Patil B V, Yelshetty S. 1998. Influence of weather parameters on the pheromone trap catches of fruit flies. Proceedings. First national symposium on integrated pest management in horticultural crops, Bangalore. p.27.
- Suresh Babu K S, Viraktamath S. 2003. Species diversity and population dynamics of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) on mango in Northern Karnataka. Pest Management and Economic Zoology 11: 103-110.
- Sushil Kumar, Patel C B, Bhatt R I. 1997. Studies on seasonal cyclicity of *Bactrocera correcta* Bezzi in mango and sapota orchards using methyl eugenol trap. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal 22: 68-74.
- Verghese A, Nagaraju D K, Madhura H S, Kamala Jayanthi P D, Sreedevi K. 2006. Wind speed as an independent variable to forecast the trap catch of the fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis*. Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences 76 (3): 172-175.
- Verghese A, Sudhadevi K. 1998. Relation between trap catch of *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel and abiotic factors. Proceedings. First national symposium on pest management in horticultural crops, Bangalore. pp.15-18.

(Manuscript Received: June, 2021; Revised: September, 2021; Accepted: September, 2021; Online Published: January, 2022) Online First in www.entosocindia.org and indianentomology.org Ref. No. e21078